4TU.Centre for Research Data

Board meeting January 10th, 2017

Present:

Marjolein Drent, Marga Koelen (Twente)

Wilma van Wezenbeek, Han Heijmans, Alastair Dunning (Delft)

Jeanne Figdor, Paul Hofman (Eindhoven)

IJsbrand Haagsma (4TU.Federation)

Herbert Krekels (WUR)

Ellen Verbakel (Delft), notes

**Announcements**

**Delft:**

TU Delft starts with 3 data stewards at 3 faculties (0,5fte)

**Alastair shares document on Data Stewardship:** [https://openworking.wordpress.com/2017/01/04/role-of-data-stewards-and-data-stewardship-community](https://openworking.wordpress.com/2017/01/04/role-of-data-stewards-and-data-stewardship-community/)/

**Eindhoven: -**

**Twente: -**

**Wageningen:**

* RDM Criteria passed the Executive Board
* Wageningen Data Competence Center estab;oished, based at the library. with researchers and IT from library.

4TU.Centre for Research Data will be the preferred archive.

This data center is linked to the RDM group from the library and will have 4 a 5 employees

**Hubert will share the documents**

**4TU:**

Meeting in Wageningen (April 11) from deans, directors and rectors to discuss the effectiveness of 4TU Research.

Each Resarch Center will report on last 5 years (3/4 pages with plans and a little history, vision and strategy)

The report of 4TU. Research Data will be discussed by the 4TU.ResearchData board on March 9 at SURF.

**Report on 2016**

(<https://openworking.wordpress.com/2017/01/05/report-on-2016-4tu-centre-for-research-data/>)

Many researchers promise to upload, but do not effectively upload their data for some reason: time, licences, any problems etc.

Data Fund (will be lauched in early 2017): can also be used to hire someone to help upload the data

Upload: many Phd's upload only 1 dataset

4TU.Research Data contacts H2020 people to upload their data.

2016: 1 FP7 project uploading their data

Data Labs: stop developing our own datalabs, such as Open Earth

**Vision for 4TU.Centre for Research Data:**

(<https://openworking.wordpress.com/2017/01/03/vision-2018-2022/>)

General:

RDNL: position in relation to LCRDM needs to be clarified. SURF has to be clear on their view on what they what to achieve with RDNL and LCRDM

Wilma: DANS is willing to work closer with us.

- 4TU.Research Data develops services that can be integrated in the procedures of the scientists.

- Why keep 4TU when institutions can be over to figshare, what is the added value of 4TU.Reserach Data:

* metadata review
* build the API
* standardization, support, functionality, services

**Alastair includes slide on this in the presentation**

- How is software sustainability arranged in 4TU.C? Github is the model for this. **Alastair will investigate this**

-If 4TU.Research Data changes to Figshare, there will be 5 Dutch univ. working with Figshare.

Technical development of 4TU.Research Data is behind thesewishes, 4TU.Research Data has does not have the to develop everything we want

Possible solution: maintenance and development can be outsourced: Figshare for institutions. Some universities in Stockholm, Amsterdam, Monash, Australia have already Figshare.

Costs are BP 35.000 pounds per university per year (private correspondence with Figshare).

Project group shall investigate on:

- broadening our network, eg CESAR

-tender process needed?

-risk analysis: Figshare incorporated in another firm, like Springer

-storage costs: datalab is not transferred to the archive

-upload for non-4TU researchers, do they have access?

-payment, how does 4TU.Reserach Data proceeds when data limit by upload is reached?

-inviting Figshare to demonstrate, inform

**Actions:**

Alastair:

* Investigation on 4TU.Reserach Data being a FAIRport, [www.datafairport.org](http://www.datafairport.org/).
* A more explicit statement on the extent to which we are stimulating the reuse of data

(4TU.Research Data strategy with upcoming 4 years focus on building and (susainable) archive, and than concentrate on stimulating the reuse)

* 'Technical Infrastructure Taskforce', to be finished in March, starting document asap
* ‘Roadshow’ to the partners to talk on the vision, not only libraries but also ICT and related departments
* agreement on the vision, make adjustments, slide on added value of 4TU.Research Data
* share document on Data Stewardship
* report on last 5 years of 4TU.Research Data

Wilma:

* writes email on Benchmark, KIVI, CESAR

Hubert:

* send documents on RDM Criteria and Wageningen Data Competence Center

**Next meeting**

**Thursday March 9th, 12.00-15.00 hrs.**